

WESTERN RAILWAY

Headquarter Office  
Churchgate, Mumbai 20  
Dt. 17/02/11

P.S No. 24/2011  
No. E (DAR)308/0 Vol. X

To,  
All DRMs / CWMs & Units Incharge,  
C/- Genl. Secy., WREU-OTR / WRMS-BCT.  
C/- GS-All India SC/ST Rly Employees. Assn, 'W' Zone, Mumbai  
C/- GS-All India OBC Rly Empl. Assn, Mumbai.

Sub: Review of DAR Cases of Non. Gazz staff at GM's level.0.

=====

In the recent past, it has been noticed by the then GM that, a DAR case in which the reversionary action was to be taken by the appropriate authority in stipulated time period but the same was not done. As a result, the reversionary action had to be taken at GM's level. On this the then GM had expressed his views as under:-

"A case has come to my notice viz. the vigilance case of Shri Z.I.Patel, the then SV(W&S)MX, which was badly delayed in FA&CAO's Office and the revisionary action as advised Vigilance Department was not taken in stipulated time. As a result of this unavoidable delay, the revisionary action on the non-gazetted staff had to be taken at the level of General Manager. I am constrained to note the significant delay on the part of Accounts Deptt. In dealing with the case in light of the laid down stipulations of D&A rules. Had action been taken by the concerned officials within the time frame laid down in these rules, this case would have been finalized a long time ago. It would not have been necessary for GM to deal with the case of a non-gazetted employee for revision, if adequate action and attention as also understanding of the rules was not found wanting on the part of officers who have dealt with this case in FA&CAO's office. I am extremely un-happy about the lack of understanding of various establishment issues which seem, to be coming up frequently. FA&CAO may like to inquire into the role of various officials in this particular case and counsel them appropriately.

Further, I would request SDGM to introduce a system in Vigilance Deptt. to send a reminder at the end of 4 months period to the DA to finalize the case with a caution that if not finalized, the case may need revision at the level of GM which will be seen adversely. This may be noted by all concerned that this provision that the *suo moto* review can be done by GM should be an exception rather than the rule to cover the inefficiency/avoidable delays caused by the concerned authorities."

Therefore, it is once again re-iterated that the revisionary action, *suo moto* or as advised by Vigilance deptt, should be taken & finalized in stipulated time in order to avoid the situation of submitting such cases to GM for revision.

Please acknowledge receipt.

  
(S. M. Meena) 2412  
DY.CPO(HQ)

For General Manager (E).